Appendix 1

Template 1

This template has two purposes. It can be used:

- during the validation meeting
- as a record keeping document

Name of RIO:			
Qualifications/Units:	Date:		
Participants:			
Validation Item	Points of Discussion	Outcomes of Validation	Follow up that may need to be taken
Assessment Process			
Assessment methods and tools			
Assessment evidence			
Assessment judgements			
Action to improve quality and consistency of assessment			
Other			

Use this checklist to ensure that validation plans are valid. This form can be used pre and post assessment.

Does the assessment plan	Yes	No	Comment
Address the unit(s) of competence being assessed			
Use plain English			
Make clear how and when assessment will take place			
Provide avenues for feedback			
Indicate timelines and assessment activities that are possible			
Allow for practical evidence gathering activities			
Provide opportunity to gather sufficient evidence over a period of time			
Show version control			
Allow for cost effective assessments			
Allow for fair, non discriminatory and inclusive assessment			
Provide space for signatures and comments			

Assessment plan validated: Yes - No
Explain modifications required:

Names and signatures:

Date:

Use this template to validate assessment tools

Do the Tools?	Yes	No	Action to be taken
Use "Plain English"			
Use language appropriate for the intended audience			
Include clear instructions on how the tool is used			
Reflect the assessment environment			
Reflect the AQF level requirements			
Contain activities that are holistic			
Ensure assessment of the relevant competence(s)			
Contribute to validity and reliability of assessment			
Clearly identify skills, knowledge and understanding relating to competence(s) being assessed			
Ensure evidence collected is current			
Ensure evidence collected is authentic			
Ensure evidence collected us sufficient			
Provide guidance on reasonable adjustment (where relevant)			

Do the Tools?	Yes	No	Action to be taken
Use methods that can be adjusted to suit special needs			
Enable evidence to be collected in a timely and cost effective way			
Include assessment of the dimensions of competence			
Contain version control			

Recommendations:	
Modifications to be made by:	
riodifications to be made by:	
Due date of modifications:	
Signatures of participants in validation:	

This form will provide a basis for reviewing and evaluating assessment evidence collected from candidates, and used by the assessor.

Training Package:	
Unit (s):	
Names of participants in validation:	
Date:	
List of evidence sampled:	

Clearly describe in the comments column any issues or concerns against the criteria as to why the evidence is or isn't relevant.

Is the evidence?	Yes	No	Comments
Sufficient to make a decision about competence			
Directly related to the units of competence being assessed			
Based on realistic workplace activities and contexts			

Based on the critical aspects of evidence	
Sufficient to cover the full range of knowledge and skills needed to demonstrate competence	
Appropriate for the AQF level	
Taken from more than one source over a period of time	
Valid	
Current	
Authentic	
Collected in a timely and cost effective way	
Demonstrating the dimensions of competence	
Recommendations for modification:	
Action officer:	Due date of modifications:
Signatures of participants in validation:	

Validating the assessment process

Use this checklist to validate the assessment process to ensure consistency amongst assessors. The assessment process is the series of key steps in the assessment cycle i.e. preparations for assessment, enrolment of candidate, information provided to candidate, recording and reporting of assessment outcomes.

Is the activity:	Pre	During	Post Assessment	(please circle)
Date of activity:				

Does the Process:	Yes	No	Comments
Document confidentiality and privacy processes			
Clearly identify the steps involved in the assessment process for example in a flow chart			
Provide plain English instructions to assessor			
Provide guidance to the assessor on preparing the candidate			
Provide guidance to the assessor on collecting evidence			
Provide guidance to the assessor on making decisions			
Provide guidance to the assessor on reviewing the process			
Provide advice on reasonable adjustment in			

assessment	
Provide guidance to the assessor on providing feedback to the candidate	
Provide guidance to the assessor in case of appeal	
Allow for feedback to be provided by candidates	
Provide plain English instructions to candidates	
Allow for flexibility to be adapted to suit specific candidates and/or workplace needs	
Allow for documentation of agreement between assessor and candidate	
Allow for support mechanisms	
Clearly document and disseminate appeals process	
Clearly document RPL processes	
Provide assessors with documentation to complete for record keeping	
Recommendations/Modifications:	
Modifications to be followed up by:	

Due date of modifications:		
Validation participants		
Name:	Signature:	

Assessment validation	action plan		
Qualification name and co	ode:		
Name of RTO:		_	
Plan for the period:		_	
Unit of competency	Activity undertaken	Proposed date pre- assessment validation	Proposed date post- assessment validation
Signed:		_	
Date:		_	

Assessment Validation Strategies

Use this checklist to document strategies already occurring in your RTO to help plan useful assessment validation.

Qualification/unit name and code:	
,	

Assessment validation Strategies	
Do groups of assessors meet to	
compare their processes, assessment	
tools and judgements. If yes, please	
specify how often they meet and	
whether minutes or records are kept.	
Are assessors using standardised	
assessment tools? If yes, are these	
tools developed locally, by a product	
development or commercially	
produced?	
Is there any sampling of	
assessments to check the	
consistency? How is this done?	
Is there an assessment panel or	
team used for assessment? Who is	
included on the panel/team? And	
how does it operate?	
Is there any industry involvement	
in the development and carrying out	
of assessment? How is it done?	
Is there a mentoring system in	
place for the new assessors? Is the	
process documented?	
Do more experienced assessors act in	
a lead assessor role assisting less	
experienced teachers/assessors. Is	
this process documented?	
Is feedback on the assessment	
process collected from candidates?	
If yes, how is this feedback used as	
part of a quality improvement	
process?	
Has there been any benchmarking	
of assessment processes and tools	
done between different delivery sites	
or with other RTOs?	

Assessment validation Strategies	
Do any assessors belong to an	
assessor network? If yes, how	
does it operate? How is the	
information collected passed on or	
other assessors?	
What professional development	
activities are provided by assessors	
that focus on improving assessment	
strategies	
What other methods are used by	
the assessors to validate their	
assessment processes?	
Date:	

Date:	 	
Completed by:	 	
D D.T.O		
Position in RTO:		

Post-Assessment Validation Checklist

Use this checklist as part of an assessment validation meeting to evaluate assessment evidence provided. Name/code of unit/s of competency/ies _____ Description of evidence provided: _____ **Evidence Criteria** Yes/ Comment No Valid Is the evidence provided relevant to what is being assessed? Have a variety of assessment strategies been used? Are skills and knowledge assessed in an integrated way? Is evidence consistent with that from other assessments? **Authentic** Can you verify the experience provided is the work of the candidate? Currency Does the evidence meet current industry standards Sufficient If no, What additional evidence is Is the evidence provided by this required? assessment sufficient for a judgement of competence? General Comments about the evidence presented: Signed: Date:

Pre-Assessment Validation Checklist

Use this checklist as part of an assessment validation meeting to evaluate assessment tools, tasks or strategies that you have developed.

Name/code of unit/s of competency/ies				
Assessment activity	Yes/No	Comment		
Assessment task instructions and assessment conditions are clearly identified.				
Written information is worded appropriately.				
The assessment activity addresses the evidence requirements for the competency or competencies being assessed.				
The level of difficulty of the activity is appropriate to the competency of competencies being assessed.				
Evidence guides or assessment checklists are available for use in making an assessment decision.				
Is the assessment activity suitable for use in other assessment contexts? Eg recognition, trainees, workplace				
Modification required (as identified under comments): Yes / No				
Changes made to assessment items: Yes / No Date:				
Assessment task is ready for use:	Ye	es / No Date:		
Validating p	eer or me	entor		

Assessment validation benchmarking summary

Use this template to record details of decisions made and areas for review identified during a benchmarking process.

RTO name:	
Name of Benchmarking partner	
Name of Benchmarking partner contact	
Contact details	
Scope of benchmarking activity	Insert scope of the area to be benchmarked eg Specific area of assessment, qualifications or assessment process to be reviewed
Benchmarking team members	Insert name of RTO staff participating in the benchmarking activity
Benchmarking site	Record details of site where benchmarking took place
Date	Insert the date (s) on which the benchmarking activity took place
Benchmarking summary	Summarise any significant outcomes or findings of the benchmarking activity. Record any areas identified for improvement, further action or information to be gathered, who is responsibly for any follow up or implementation and the planned timeframe.
Future benchmarking activities	Insert dates or details of future or follow up activities planned
Signed:	Date:

Assessment tools, processes and evidence checklist

Use this checklist to assist you to design assessment tools and strategies. Gauge your assessment against the following statements, and where you are unable to answer YES, re-work your approach.

VA	LIDITY	Yes/No	Comment
1.	The assessment tasks are based on realistic workplace activities and contexts	Y/N	
2.	The evidence relates directly to the units of competence or learning outcomes being assessed	Y/N	
3.	The instrument will assess the candidate's ability to meet the level of performance required by the unit (s) of competency	Y/N	
	The assessment tasks have been designated to allow holistic and integrated assessment of knowledge, skills and attitudes	Y/N	
5.	More than one task is the source of evidence will be used as the basis for judgement, with evidence drawn from a variety of performances over time where practical	Y/N	
6.	Different sources of evidence of knowledge and skills that underpin the unit of competency will be considered in the assessment	Y/N	
7.	The purpose, boundaries and limitations of the interpretations of evidence have been clearly identified.	Y/N	
8.	The methods and instruments selected are appropriate for the assessment system specified by the industry (where applicable)	Y/N	
9.	Where practical, the methods and processes for assessment have been validated by another person with the expertise I the competencies being assessed.	Y/N	

RE	LIABILITY	Yes/No	Comment
1.	Critical elements have been identified and sampling will be used to ensure that the most important aspects are assessed.	Y/N	
2.	Assessment exemplars and checklists have been prepared for use by assessors.	Y/N	
3.	Guides for observing and recording evidence are based on units of competency.	Y/N	
4.	Clear guidelines are available to ensure that assessors make consistent decisions over time and with different candidates.	Y/N	
5.	Where multiple assessors are involved in conducting parallel assessment events, the strategies used have been agreed.	Y/N	
6.	Consistent instructions to candidates and procedures for undertaking assessment are available to all assessors.	Y/N	
7.	Where work samples are to be used as evidence, candidates will receive specific guidelines on requirements, including information about ensuring authenticity and currency of the evidence.	Y/N	
8.	Where a unit or units of competency are to be assessed in different situations, the situations are generally comparable.	Y/N	

FL	EXIBILITY	Yes/No	Comment
1.	The assessment approach can be adapted to meet the needs of all candidates and workplaces.	Y/N	
2.	Where practical and appropriate, assessment will be negotiated and agreed between the assessor and the candidate	Y/N	
3.	Candidates will be able to have their previous experience or expertise recognised.	Y/N	
4.	The assessment strategy adequately covers both on and off the job components of the training.	Y/N	

FA	AIRNESS	Yes/No	Comment
1.	Candidates will be given clear and	Y/N	
	timely information on assessment.		
2.	Information for candidates will	Y/N	
	cover assessment methods,		
	procedures, the criteria against		
	which they will be assessed, when		
	and how they will receive feedback		
	and the mechanism for appeal.		
3.	Candidates will be included in	Y/N	
	discussions on the choice of		
	assessment methods and timing.		
4.	Candidates will be made aware of	Y/N	
	their responsibilities with regard to		
	assessment.		
5.	The assessment approach chosen		
	caters for the language, literacy and		
	numeracy needs for all candidates.		
6.	The special geographic, financial or		
	social needs of candidates have		
	been considered in the development		
-	and conduct of the assessment.		
7.	Reasonable adjustment can be		
	made to the assessment strategy to		
	ensure equity for all candidates,		
	while maintaining the integrity of		
	the assessment outcomes.		
٥.	Opportunities for feedback and		
	review of all aspects of assessment		
	will be provided to candidates.		
9.	There are clearly documented		
	mechanisms for appeal against		
	assessment processes and decisions		
	and these will be provided to		
	candidates prior to assessment.		